Advancing Cyber Intelligence Practices Through the SEI's Consortium

SEI Emerging Technology Center

Jay McAllister Melissa Kasan Ludwick



Copyright 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution except as restricted below.

This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

Carnegie Mellon® is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University.

DM-0002093

Agenda

The Cyber Intelligence Research Consortium

- Purpose
- Origins
- Offerings

Demonstrations

- Evaluating Intelligence
- Evaluating Analysts

Future Work

- How-To Guides
- Cyber Threat Baseline
- Crisis Simulation

Advancing Cyber Intelligence Practices Through the SEI's Consortium

The Cyber Intelligence Research Consortium



Purpose

The Consortium is a member-funded initiative that researches and develops technical solutions and analytical practices to advance the art and science of cyber intelligence

Cyber intelligence: The acquisition and analysis of information to identify, track, and predict cyber capabilities, intentions, and activities to offer courses of action that enhance decision making

It was formed because government, industry, and academia were looking for...

- Access to cost-effective resources for cyber intelligence workforce development and technology scouting
- Awareness of analytical practices from all organizations, regardless of size and economic sector
- Insight into SEI and Carnegie Mellon skills and capabilities

Origins

Cyber Intelligence Tradecraft Project

- www.sei.cmu.edu/etc/cyber-intelligence/citp
- Studied how 30 organizations from government, industry, and academia performed cyber intelligence

Overall finding

 Effective organizations balance the need to protect their network perimeters with the need to look beyond them for strategic insights

Deliverables

- Summary of Key Findings: Best practices and lessons learned
- Implementation Frameworks: How-to-guides for analysis
- White Paper: Practitioner core competencies and skills

Offerings



Steering Committee: Guide Consortium activities and plan for future success



Cyber Threat Baseline: Anonymized research of members' cyber threat environments to identify common challenges and associated best practices



Tradecraft Labs: Workshops to advance cyber intelligence capabilities and showcase relevant technologies



Implementation Frameworks: How-to guides for navigating key analytical practices and technologies



Crisis Simulation: Capture-the-flag exercise to apply analytical techniques and technologies to a simulated cyber attack



Intelligence Insights: Biweekly emails and bimonthly newsletters on topics relevant to the practice of cyber intelligence

Advancing Cyber Intelligence Practices Through the SEI's Consortium

Offering Demonstrations



Evaluating Intelligence

Challenge

- Cyber intelligence is a phrase often used, but interpreted in many different ways, leading to a diverse output of threat analysis categorized as cyber intelligence
- Such output is difficult to evaluate and compare, stifling an organization's ability to establish guidelines and goals

Solution

- An evaluation template based on standards observed during our research and set forth in U.S. Intelligence Community Directive Number 203
 - http://www.dni.gov/files/documents/ICD/ICD%20203%20Analytic %20Standards%20pdf-unclassified.pdf

Template – Evaluating Intelligence

Assess the quality and thoroughness of an intelligence analyst's work using a grading system based on points accumulated for criteria the analyst satisfies in an intelligence product

Grading system

A: 17-16, **B**: 15-14, **C**: 13-12, **D**: 11-10, **F**: 9 and below

Criteria

- Objective
- Independent of political considerations
- Timely
- Based on all available sources
- Exhibiting proper standards of analytic tradecraft

Criteria - Objective

Worth 4 points

- Functions from an unbiased perspective
- Gives due regard to alternative perspectives
- Gives due regard to contrary reporting
- Acknowledges developments that necessitate adjustments to analytic judgments

Criteria – Independent of Political Considerations

Worth 2 points

- Provides objective assessments informed by available information
- Is not distorted or altered with the intent of supporting or advocating a particular policy, political viewpoint, or audience

Criteria - Timely

Worth 1 point

Is actionable

Criteria – Based on All Available Sources

Worth 3 points

- Is informed by all relevant information that is available, including open source information
- Addresses where critical intelligence gaps exist
- Identifies appropriate collection, dissemination, and access strategies to fill the gaps

Criteria – Exhibiting Proper Standards of Analytic Tradecraft

Worth 7 points

- Properly describes the quality and reliability of the underlying sources
- Caveats and expresses confidence in analytic judgments
- Distinguishes between assumptions and judgments
- Demonstrates relevance to the stakeholder(s)
- Uses logical argumentation
- Exhibits consistency of analysis over time
- Makes judgments and assessments that are justified with supporting information

Evaluating Analysts

Challenge

 No analyst produces intelligence the same way, making it difficult to evaluate critical thinking and problem solving skills

Solution

 An evaluation template based on how analysts assess fictitious, ill-structured, and complex cyber threats presented through scenario-based exercises

Template – Evaluating Analysts

The template conveys a holistic approach to assessing cyber threats

- It consists of three components
 - Threat actor potential to execute the cyber threat
 - Organizational impact of the cyber threat on the target
 - Target exposure to the cyber threat because of potential vulnerabilities

Threat Actor Potential

(to execute the cyber threat)

Capability

Intent

Attack Methods

Infrastructure

Operational structures needed for success—hardware, software, or command and control

Technology

Whether used or manipulated

Coding

Nuances and personal preferences

Maturity

According to the planning process and pre/post-threat activities

Targets

General or specific—mass phishing data or exploiting a specific vulnerability

Timing

Minutes, days, or years to act on the cyber threat

Resources

Money

For personnel, tools, training, or access

People

Number and type of people involved—collaborators, teachers, mentors, or sponsors

Tools

Open source and/or custom, and why

Training

Type and quality

Motive

Intrinsic

Personal rewards to act on the threat—bragging rights, knowledge, justify skills, satisfy boredom, patriotism, or hacktivist allegiance

Extrinsic

External rewards to act on the threat—fame, money—or to avoid punishment

Targeted Data

Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

Payment card data, social security numbers, or biometrics

Organizational Data

Research and development information, business processes, or industrial control systems



Organizational Impact

(of the cyber threat on the target)

Operations

Direct Costs

Incident Response

Costs to perform an investigation, remediation, and forensics

Downtime

Business costs of a network-reliant service being unavailable—missed financial transactions or loss of potential product/services revenue

Mitigation and/or Prevention

Costs of additional hardware/software to stop current and future threats

Business Operations

- Supply Chain

Costs associated with the inability to meet demand, delay to operations, and supplementing or replacing suppliers

Logistics

Cost of continuing business operations during and after an attack—rerouting communications, securing intellectual property, or upgrading processes

Future Earnings

How the threat affects R&D, product releases, acquisitions, or competitive advantage

A SECTION OF THE SECT

Strategic Interests

Organizational Interests

Strategic Planning

How the threat affects the strategic vision—annual reports, operational policies, or mergers

Stakeholders

Threat impact on shareholders, board of directors, or employees

Culture

How the threat affects legal/regulatory requirements, network access, or work-from-home policies

External Interests

Market/Industry

Threat impact on target's competitors and industry, both domestic and foreign

Geopolitical

How the threat affects political relationships and local/national/global economies

Partnerships

Threat impact on target's third party providers, information sharing agreements, or other business relationships

Brand Reputation

How the threat affects the target's brand and its implications on public opinion



Target Exposure (to the cyber threat because of potential vulnerabilities)

People

Relevance

Internet Presence

Susceptible witting and unwitting information target-related individuals put online and their popularity on blogs/social media

Extracurricular Activities

Vulnerabilities from these individuals roles with non-target entities—non-profits. activist groups, or local/national politics

Motive

The reasons for why such individuals are susceptible to the cyber threat—ignorance. financial trouble, disgruntlement, or boredom

Access

Physical

Vulnerabilities from target-related individuals ability to access the target's tangible aspects—office space, transportation, or equipment

Network

Susceptible administrative privileges or sensitive data access provided to such individuals

Position

How threat actors exploit the different roles these individuals play for the target—network administrator. senior leader, or rank-and-file employee

Abnormal Activities

Deviations from normal physical, network, or position-based activities of key target-related individuals can signify potential vulnerabilities

Cyber Footprint

Infrastructure

Hardware

Risks emanating from where network appliances. workstations, and third party equipment connect to the target's network

Software

Risks associated with the target relying on particular software for day-to-day operations, providing access to high-risk software, and detecting software vulnerability exploitation

Supply Chain

How the cyber threat affects the target's acquisition, implementation, maintenance, and discontinuation of hardware and software

Internet Presence

Website

How the threat actor can leverage the target's website—compromise content, collect data, or deny access

Social Media

Risks associated with the target's use of it for organizational activitiesmarketing, customer service, or product placement

Additional Services

Risks emanating from the target's use of FTP, Telnet, VPN, webmail, remote desktop, and other web-based services

Advancing Cyber Intelligence Practices Through the SEI's Consortium

Future Work



Future Work

Automation of templates for evaluating intelligence and analysts

Implementation frameworks

- Predictive analytics
- Red teaming
- Intelligence collection management

Interactive platform for learning how to build a cyber intelligence capability

Crisis simulation

Contact Information

Presenter / Point of Contact

Jay McAllister

Senior Analyst

Telephone: +1 412.268.9193

Email: jjmcallister@sei.cmu.edu

Twitter: @sei_etc

Customer Relations

Email: info@sei.cmu.edu

Telephone: +1 412.268.5800

SEI Phone: +1 412.268.5800

SEI Fax: +1 412.268.6257