

Research Review 2017

Why did the Robot do That? and What will the Robot do Next?

Jonathan Chu

Senior Software Developer

Copyright 2017 Carnegie Mellon University. All Rights Reserved.

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8702-15-D-0002 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this material are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Government position, policy, or decision, unless designated by other documentation.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

[DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A] This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution. Please see Copyright notice for non-US Government use and distribution.

This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

Carnegie Mellon® is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University.

DM17-0773

Understanding Autonomous Systems is Challenging


Understanding robot behavior is important:

Robots are increasingly being utilized in important tasks such as search and rescue operations.

Understanding robot behavior is difficult:

Their behaviors are often hard to understand, leading to users' mistrust and often abandonment of very useful tools.

People form beliefs about robot behavior through observation, but robots do not execute actions with the intent of conveying state preferences.



http://archive.defense.gov/DODCMSShare/NewsStoryPhoto/2013-12/hrs_tartan%20rescue.jpg

Communication is Vital to Understanding



We are developing algorithms for robots and autonomous systems to automatically explain their behaviors to users and are demonstrating that these explanations improve users' trust and acceptance.

We are exploring ways to have robots provide cues to help users understand and predict their actions.

How can we team the robots and their operators build trust and exploit autonomous behaviors?

Various Approaches

Take multiple approaches to address trust in robotics.

- Proactive modification of robot behavior to engender trust
- Verbal explanations of behavior
- Non-verbal explanations of behavior
- Human robot teaming for mission specific tasks

Analyzing human gaze to assess robot trust



Human Robot Teaming for Unmanned Surface Vehicles



Robot providing non-verbal Explanations



Human view

Robot Overlay



Robot Providing Verbal Explanations



Explanation 1: "I started from room 3201, I went through the 3200 corridor, then I took the elevator and went to the seventh floor, then I took the 7th floor bridge, then I passed the kitchen, then I went through the 7400 corridor, then I reached room 7416."

Explanation 2: "I traveled 26 meters and took 152 seconds on the 7th floor."

Modifying Robot Behavior Based on User Attention



How can we increase neglect tolerance – the length of time that users are willing to look away from their robots before they proactively monitor them again?

Neglect tolerance is widely used as a measure of trust in robots.

We seek to measure and use operator gaze to adapt robot actions.


How might a robot behave (or “misbehave”) to confirm operator expectations of robotic motion?

Generating Trajectories to Convey System Intent

“Evaluating Critical Points in Trajectories” paper published and presented at 26th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN 2017)

How can the robot *generate* paths that indicate preference?

Can users infer preference based on these non-verbal explanations?



Conclusion/Recommendations Moving Forward

Working to understand robotic and autonomous systems is important.

We developed methods for robots to convey their intent in a manner that we can understand.

We are investigating how we can provide information to the robot to convey a sense of human intention to inform robot behavior.

We studied how presenting this information in conjunction with controlling a system can affect trust and performance.

Continue to work to explain autonomous systems to engender trust that systems will execute as we expect when we expect.

Future Directions

Working in parallel with existing Robot Operating System – Military (ROS-M) work to demonstrate these concepts on progressively more mature robotic systems.

Leverage these techniques to directly support mission challenges and in operational environments.

Explore more opportunities and approaches to explain additional autonomous systems e.g., machine learning based systems, artificial intelligence algorithms, etc.

Contact Information

Presenter / Point of Contact

Jonathan Chu

Senior Software Developer

Email: jchu@sei.cmu.edu

Telephone: +1 412.268.4270