

Operational Resiliency Management

An Introduction to the Resiliency Engineering Framework

Rich Caralli – SEI Charles Wallen - FSTC

Federal Reserve Bank Business Continuity Conference

20 September 2006

Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon



Agenda

Who are we?

Introduction to Operational Resiliency and the Resiliency Model

Characterizing the Problem Space

Introducing the Resiliency Engineering Framework

Summary

Questions





Established in 1993

Member-owned consortium for collaboration between financial services-focused organization

Explore new technologies and methodologies to address today's business requirements .

Projects:

- Technology Review
- Compliance
- Business Continuity Maturity Model





ware Engineering Institute



Established in 1984

Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC)

College-level unit of Carnegie Mellon University

Includes five technical programs aimed helping defense, government, industry, and academic organizations to continually improve software-intensive systems

Widely-known "brands"

- CERT Coordination Center
- Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI)





are Engineering Institute | Ca



Managing Today's Operational Risk Challenges





Software Engineering Institute

Carnegie Mellon



FRB Bus Con Conference 2006

5

Resiliency is the ability of an object to return to its original shape

Operational resiliency refers to an organization's ability to function and adapt through the lifecycle of disruptions

A resiliency model is a roadmap for managing the consistent delivery of products and services







Managing resiliency

Requires

- Ongoing measurement and monitoring
- Balancing cost and risk tradeoffs
- Taking an enterprise focus

Financial Services organizations recognize a need to be able to manage resiliency in a systematic, consistent, measurable, and improvable way







A model is needed to...





Identify and prioritize risk exposures Define a process improvement roadmap Measure and facilitate strategic planning Address interdependencies Promote pro-active regulatory compliance





Goal: continuous improvement of resiliency processes







Provides an operational risk roadmap

Vendor-neutral, standardized, unbiased assessment vehicle

Can be leveraged for process improvement at any organization, public or private

Avoids the pitfalls of prescriptive solutions by promoting resiliency engineering and the use of organization-appropriate practices







- Fieldwork history with OCTAVESM
- **Best-in-Class IT Operations Roundtable**
- **Enterprise Security Management and PrISM**
- **Resiliency Maturity Model**
- **Resiliency Engineering Framework**





Defining the problem

Typical organizational approach to operational risk management activities:

- Poorly planned and executed function
- Business units not involved
- No asset management function
- · Seen as a technical function or responsibility
- Searching for magic bullet: CobiT, ITIL, ISO17799, NFP1600
- Poorly defined and measured goals
- Funding model reactive, not strategic





© 2006 Carnegie Mellon University

Misalignment of operational, security, and continuity goals

- False sense of accomplishment
- Failure to recognize/utilize all skills/resources
- Compliance at the expense of effectiveness
- Static, inflexible approach that can't evolve





Security is an operational risk management activity

Security has two purposes:

- Prevent disruption to core business drivers
- Sustain the survivability of the organization's mission

Security is not an end, but a means to achieving higher organizational goals





Operational risk is the risk that results from

- Failed internal processes
- Inadvertent or deliberate actions of people
- Problems with systems and technology
- External events

Operational resiliency is the organization's ability to sustain the mission in the face of these risks





Requires more than traditional security activities

- Continuity of operations (COOP) planning is essential
- Derives benefits from process excellence in areas such as IT operations and service delivery management





Security and operational resiliency

- Focus on keeping critical assets safe from harm
- Limiting threats and managing impacts
- Manage confidentiality, integrity, and availability
- Manage "condition"







Business continuity and operational resiliency

- Limit unwanted effects of realized risk
- Ensure availability and recoverability
- Manage "consequence"





Carnegie Mellon



IT Operations Management and operational resiliency

Limit vulnerabilities and threats that originate in the technical infrastructure

Ensure availability and recoverability of technology





technology

information





Collaborating toward a common goal







Operational resiliency in practice







An emerging holistic view

Organization is dependent on the productivity of four assets:

- People
- Information
- Technology
- Facilities

Each asset must be protected and sustainable







Collaborating toward a common goal







Focusing on the mission





Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon



Organizations are not structured today to facilitate collaboration toward a common goal of resiliency

- Deficient funding models
- Management direction and oversight lacking
- Practice-driven
- Compliance-focused

Need to view resiliency as a definable, manageable, enterprise-wide process





Elevating the management and coordination of operational-resiliency focused activities to the enterprise level

- Shared goals and resources
- Elimination of redundancy and stovepipes
- Elimination of framework quagmire through practice integration
- Measuring process effectiveness
- Moving toward process improvement





How does process differ from practice?

Process

- · Describes the "what"
- Set and achieve process goals
- Manage process to requirements
- Select practices based on process goals
- Can be defined, communicated, measured, and controlled

Practice

- Prescribes the "how"
- No practice goals
- Tends toward "set and forget" mentality
- Reinforces domain-driven approach
- One size does not fit all
- Regulatory vehicle





The relationship between process and practice







© 2006 Carnegie mellon University

Improvement in meeting resiliency goals is dependent on the active management of the process

Process maturity increases capability for meeting goals and sustaining the process

"Are we resilient?" or "Are we secure?" is answered in the context of goal achievement rather than what hasn't happened

Meaningful, purposeful selection and implementation of practices





The process by which an organization establishes, develops, implements, and manages the operational resiliency of services, related business processes, and associated assets

"Requirements-driven security and COOP"







Introducing the Resiliency Engineering Framework



Carnegie Mellon



The Resiliency Engineering Framework

A process improvement framework for security and continuity of operations

Defines basic process areas and provides guidelines for improving security and COOP processes

Addresses operational risk management through process management

Vital linkages between security, COOP, and I/T ops are captured in the process definition

Establishes a capability benchmark





Represents processes that span four basic areas:

- Enterprise management
- Engineering
- Operations management
- Process management

Considers the resiliency of people, information, technology, and facilities in the context of services and business objectives





Enterprise management processes

Enterprise capabilities that are essential to supporting the resiliency engineering process

RSKM – Risk Management
EF – Enterprise Focus
COMP – Compliance Management
FRM – Financial Resource Management
HRM – Human Resource Management





Operations management processes

Capabilities focused on sustaining an adequate level of operational resiliency

- **SAM** Supplier Agreement Management
- **SRM** Supplier Relationship Management
- **AMC** Access Management and Control
- **IMC** Incident Management and Control

- VM Vulnerability Management
- EC Environmental Control
- **KIM** Knowledge and Information Management
- **SOM** Security Operations Management
- **ITOPS** IT Operations Management





Engineering processes

Capabilities focused on establishing and implementing resiliency for organizational assets, business processes, and services

- **RD** Requirements Definition
- **RM** Requirements Management
- AM Asset Management
- **COOP** Continuity of Operations Planning
- **REST** Restoration of Operations Planning

CSI – Control Selection and Implementation

RAD – Resilient Architecture Development





Enterprise capabilities related to defining, planning, deploying, implementing, monitoring, controlling, appraising, measuring, and improving processes

 OT – Organizational Training
 OPF – Organizational Process Focus
 OPD – Organizational Process Definition
 MA – Measurement and Analysis
 MON - Monitoring





Establish current level of capability

Set forward-looking resiliency goals and targets

Develop plans to close identified gaps

Build resiliency into important assets and architectures

Reduce reactionary activities; shift to directing and controlling activities

Align common practices with processes to achieve process goals



ware Engineering Institute



Release REF v1.0 in October 2006 for comments

Guidelines for improving the security and business continuity processes

Phase III expansion of model development and piloting

Exploration of integration with other existing models

Development of appraisal methodology to measure capability for managing resiliency



Phase I and Phase II Project Members

Ameriprise	Key Bank
Bank of America	KPMG
Carnegie Mellon	MasterCard
Capital Group	Marshall and IIsley
Citicorp	NY Federal Reserve Bank
Discover	SunGard
DRII	Trizec Properties
DRJ	US Bank
IBM	Wachovia
JPMorgan Chase	





Operational resiliency must be actively managed

Security, BC/DR, and ITOps must collaborate

Model-based process improvement brings defined, systematic, repeatable, consistent, and improvable processes

Approach must be flexible and adaptable

No one-size-fits-all solution





For more information



Rich Caralli

Software Engineering Institute

www.sei.cmu.edu

www.cert.org

rcaralli@cert.org



Charles Wallen

Financial Services Technology Consortium

www.fstc.org

charles.wallen@fstc.org



oftware Engineering Institute

Carnegie Mellon

