Automated Code Repair to Ensure Memory Safety

Problem
Software vulnerabilities constitute a major threat to DoD. Memory violations are among the most common and most severe types of vulnerabilities. Spatial memory vulnerabilities constitute 15% of CVEs in the NIST National Vulnerability Database and 24% of critical-severity CVEs.

Solution
We developed and implemented a technique to automatically repair source code to assure spatial memory safety. Our tool inserts code to abort the program (or call user-specified error-handling code) immediately before a memory violation would occur, preventing exploitation by attackers.

The main technique that we use (fat pointers) has been previously researched to repair code as part of the compilation process. Our work is novel in applying it as a source-code repair, which poses the difficulty of translating the repairs on the intermediate representation (IR) back to source code. The pipeline is shown below:

Intended Impact
With further development, this technology can be used by DoD to ensure memory safety as part of all software projects with code written in memory-unsafe languages (such as C and C++).

We developed an automated technique to repair C source code to eliminate memory-safety vulnerabilities.

Ensuring spatial memory safety with fat pointers
Our tool replaces raw pointers with fat pointers, which are structs that include bounds information in addition to the pointer itself. Before dereferencing a fat pointer, a bounds check is performed. For each pointer type $T$, we define a new struct:

```c
struct FatPtr_T {
  T* rp; /* raw pointer */
  char* base; /* of mem region */
  size_t size; /* in bytes */
};
```

To preserve compatibility with third-party binary libraries, we identify and refrain from fattening any pointers stored in heap memory that is reachable by external binary code.

The C preprocessor can include or exclude pieces of C code depending on the configuration chosen at compile time. We repair configurations separately and merge the results:

```c
#define BUF_SIZE 256
char nondet_char();

int main() {
  char* p = malloc(BUF_SIZE);
  char c;
  while ((c = nondet_char()) != 0) {
    *p = c;
    p = p + 1;
  }
  return 0;
}
```

```c
#include "fat_header.h"
#include "fat_stdlib.h"
#define BUF_SIZE 256
char nondet_char();

int main() {
  FatPtr_char p = fatmalloc_char(BUF_SIZE);
  char c;
  while ((c = nondet_char()) != 0) {
    *bound_check(p) = c;
    p = fatp_add(p, 1);
  }
  return 0;
}
```

Results
The runtime overhead of our repair is around 50% on bzip2. Our DoD partners said this is too high for many of their use cases. Can we significantly reduce the overhead while still guaranteeing memory safety? Probably not, but automated repair is valuable even if it fixes only the likeliest bugs. To reduce the overhead time, we added an option to insert bounds checks only for memory accesses that are warned about by an external static analyzer. This reduced the overhead to 6% on bzip2.