# **Enabling Evidence-Based Modernization (EEBM)**

The GAO reports that most DoD business system modernization projects fail to establish a baseline within 2 years. These are not unprecedented systems – viable solutions exist, but choosing a solution involves stakeholders agreeing about the architecture approach and delivery sequence. We've found that in many cases, only a few decisions affect the solution cost and benefit, and we have developed a method and tool to help find those decisions that matter.

**Softgoal Modeling** is a lightweight approach to capture the structure of the decisions to be made as a network. Softgoals allow representation of subjective, qualitative desires about the system.

**Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)** collects stakeholder preferences about the softgoaldecisions. AHP is time-efficient for stakeholders, using pairwise comparisons to rank alternatives.

**LOOPHOLE** is a search-based tool that uses differential evolution to efficiently find optimal solutions-the combinations of decisions that best satisfy preferences and other constraints. LOOPHOLE then uses Bayesian inference to identify the decisions that contribute to the best solutions-the **Key Decisions** that have the most influence over the quality of the solution.

This approach scales to large decision models, and is fast enough to provide real time collaboration support. By focusing on the decisions that matter, programs can focus attention, establish baselines, and make faster progress.

# **Softgoal Model**



**Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)** Ranking by pairwise comparisons



#### **Decision Name:** Data Model **Description:** What type of data model should we develop? **Alternatives:** Comprehensive Extensible Specific **Schedule Criterion:** is significantly worse than (-7) Extensible Comprehensive Comprehensive is significantly worse than (-7) Specific Extensible is a little worse than (-3) Specific Life-Cycle Costs **Criterion:** is significantly worse than (-7) Comprehensive Extensible Comprehensive is significantly worse than (-7) Specific Extensible is somewhat worse than (-5) Specific **Criterion: Dependencies and Interoperability with Other Investments** Comprehensive is significantly worse than (-7) Extensible Comprehensive is significantly worse than (-7) Specific Extensible Specific is the same as (1) **Criterion: Overall Risk of Investment Failure** Comprehensive Extensible is somewhat worse than (-5) Comprehensive is somewhat worse than (-5) Specific Specific Extensible is the same as (1)

# **LOOPHOLE** Results



### **Key Decisions** (the ones that matter)

Rank	Node	Status	Support
1	J2EE Specification	ON	0.129
2	Pnp Framework	OFF	0.124
3	New Database	OFF	0.115
4	Documentation Tool	ON	0.114
5	Access Control Assessed	ON	0.113
6	Monitoring Pilot	ON	0.112
7	General Test Env	ON	0.110
8	Bakeoff Result	ON	0.110
9	Access Control Pilot	ON	0.108
10	DB Vendor Test Env	ON	0.105
11	Data Service Spec	ON	0.099
12	External clients get their request	ON	0.098
13	XXX coordinates & internal client	ON	0.098
14	XXX coordinates & external client	ON	0.097
15	Data Model Pilot	ON	0.095
16	Data Service Pilot	ON	0.095
17	2 Tier	ON	0.094
18	3 Tier	ON	0.090
19	Define data model for shared data	ON	0.085
20	Svc layer w/ extracted biz logic	OFF	0.080
21	Define ext mandatory data std	ON	0.079
22	Svc layer w/ extracted biz logic in DB	ON	0.066
23	External data model can be extended	ON	0.062
24	Provide logical data scheme internally	ON	0.052

### **LOOPHOLE Performance and Scalability**

Model	Nodes	Edges	Runtime(s)	_
CSServices	351	510	320	Other larger
CSDFand Marketing	326	422	252	models
CSCounseling	350	470	240	
CounselingMgmt	206	239	62	$\geq$
CSITDepartment	126	162	28	
CSSAProgram	114	168	27	
KidsAndYouth	81	81	11	
AOWS	53	57	10	Softgoal model
				example
	Δ	hle to sunn	ort real-time	

collaborative decision-making

