Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC)

Sagar Chaki Dionisio de Niz

Mark Klein





- Software Engineering Institute | Carnegie Mellon University

Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC) © 2016 Carnegie Mellon University

SEI Research Review 2016

Copyright 2016 Carnegie Mellon University

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

[Distribution Statement A] This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution. Please see Copyright notice for non-US Government use and distribution.

This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

DM-0004125



Motivation



STAC = software that accesses the system clock, exchanges clock values, and uses these values to set timers and perform computation

- Key to real-time and cyber-physical systems
- Essential to keep software in sync with the physical world
- Examples = thread schedulers and time budget enforcers, distributed protocols (e.g., plug-and-play medical devices)

Goal : Formally verify STACs at the source code level using deductive (aka autoactive) verification

- Target: ZSRM mixed-criticality scheduler
 - Performs thread CPU allocation and time budget enforcement
 - Available as Linux kernel module implemented in C
 - Currently we focus on ZSRM budget enforcement only

To our knowledge, the first formally verified and performant timing enforcer

SEI Research Review 2016

Why Verify Source Code?

Push assurance closer to executable level

• Use verified compilers (e.g., CompCERT) to close the final gap

Don't need to sacrifice performance

- Performance is a problem when we verify models
- And is a no-go for low-level system software

Easier to integrate with existing systems

- Linux kernel module means anyone using Linux can use it
- Can be modified to work with other OSs (ZSRM in VxWorks), such as SEL4
- What You Verify Is What You Execute!







SEI Research Review 2016

Why Use Auto-Active Verification?



Soundness

Language expressivity

• Pointers, recursion, loops

Rich specification

- Quantifiers
- Predicates
- Separation

Tool maturity

- Frama-C
 - https://frama-c.com/
 - Contracts expressed in ACSL

Good Balance between human intuition and brute force search



Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC) October 2016 © 2016 Carnegie Mellon University

Terminology



Threads/tasks

- $\bullet T = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots\}$
- Executes with preemption (i.e., broken up into chunks)
 - Chunks not known at design time
- Initially each task is one continuous computation (e.g., a function)
 - later we will add periods

Enforcer Functions EF = System calls \cup Timer handlers

- Execute atomically (i.e., without preemption)
- System calls
 - Task arrives : $ta(\tau)$
 - Task departs : $td(\tau)$

Execution/Timeline



Time = Global "Newtonian" clock

• Flows monotonically, dense real-time



Execution
$$\pi = s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} s_3 \dots s_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} s_n$$

State
$$s_i = (c_i, r_i, a_i)$$

差 Software Engineering Institute | Carnegie Mellon University

Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC) October 2016 © 2016 Carnegie Mellon University

Thread CPU Usage

 $C(\pi, \tau)$ = total cpu usage by thread τ over execution π • Add up durations of all the transitions labeled by τ



 $C(\pi, \tau)$ can never be measured precisely But can be over-approximated!

Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University

Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC) October 2016 © 2016 Carnegie Mellon University

Measuring Current Time

System calls and timer handlers use a special function *now()* to measure current time

We assume that *now()* returns a value that is within the time boundary of the transition in which it is executed



We assume that multiple calls to *now()* return strictly increasing values

Implemented using hardware timestamp counter

Theorem: Over-Approximating CPU Usage



Theorem 1. For any execution $\pi = s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} s_2 \dots s_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} s_n$ and thread τ , the following four conditions hold:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathbf{C1}) & n > 1 \land \alpha_{n-1} = \tau \implies \tau.start(\pi) \leq c_{n-1} \\ (\mathbf{C2}) & n = 1 \lor \alpha_{n-1} \neq \tau \implies \tau.start(\pi) \leq c_n \\ (\mathbf{C3}) & n > 1 \land \alpha_{n-1} = \tau \implies C(\pi, \tau) \leq \tau.usage(\pi) + c_n - c_{n-1} \\ (\mathbf{C4}) & n = 1 \lor \alpha_{n-1} \neq \tau \implies C(\pi, \tau) \leq \tau.usage(\pi) \end{array}$$

Key Result

Software Engineering Institute | Carnegie Mellon University

Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC) October 2016 © 2016 Carnegie Mellon University

Using CPU Estimate to Enforce Budget



Each thread τ has a time budget $B(\tau)$

Definition 3 (Timer). We say that a budget timer is always properly activated for a thread τ , denoted $Timer(\tau)$, if at the end of each execution $\pi = s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} s_1 \cdots s_1 = s_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} s_n$ such that $\alpha_{n-1} \in F \land sched(s_n, \tau)$ there exists an active timer $t \in a_n$ such that $t.c \leq c_n + B(\tau) - \tau$.usage.

Theorem 2. For any thread τ , if $Timer(\tau)$, then τ never exceeds its budget, i.e., at the end of each execution π , we have $C(\pi, \tau) \leq B(\tau)$.

Results extended to periodic threads as well



Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC) October 2016 © 2016 Carnegie Mellon University

Verifying $Timer(\tau)$ on Source Code

Started with ZSRM implementation as Linux kernel module

Expressed $Timer(\tau)$ as ACSL annotations and verified with Frama-C

Complete source code with ACSL annotations publicly available

- http://www.andrew.cmu.edu/~schaki/misc/iccps17.tgz
- Compiles on recent Linux distributions
 - Tested to demonstrate good performance
- Verifies with Frama-C Aluminium
- Paper under submission

QUESTIONS?

Please attend the poster session



Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University

Auto-Active Verification of Software with Timers and Clocks (STAC) © 2016 Carnegie Mellon University